## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JOSHUA BREWER,
Plaintiff,
-VS

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

ENTERPRISE RECOVERY SYSTEMS, INC. Defendant.

#### **COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, through counsel, Nitzkin and Associates, by Gary Nitzkin states the following claims for relief:

## **JURISDICTION**

- This court has jurisdiction under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15
  U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §§1331,1337.
- This court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims arising out of the same nucleus of operative facts which give rise to the Federal law claims.

#### **PARTIES**

3. The Defendant to this lawsuit is Enterprise Recovery Systems, Inc. which is an Illinois company that maintains registered offices in Oakland County.

#### **VENUE**

- 4. The transactions and occurrences which give rise to this action occurred in Kent County.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Western District of Michigan.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. Defendant is attempting to collect a consumer type debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff to Ashford University in the amount of \$100.00.
- 7. On or about March 13, 2013, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's Mother, Tammy Brewer, regarding the alleged debt. The phone number Defendant called from is (260) 440-7878. This is the first time, to Plaintiff's knowledge, that Defendant has attempted to contact Plaintiff.
- 8. During this conversation, Tammy Brewer spoke with Defendant's representative who did not give his name.
- 9. Defendant's representative told Plaintiff's mother their company name, that the call was an attempt to collect on a debt, and what the debt was allegedly for. Plaintiff's mother notified Plaintiff of this conversation and what was said the same day.
- 10. Defendant was not attempting to gain Plaintiff's location or address information and willingly disclosed Plaintiff's debt to a third party

## **COUNT I - FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

- 11. Plaintiff reincorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 12. At all relevant times Defendant, in the ordinary course of its business, regularly engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or entities.
- 13. Plaintiff is a "consumer" for purposes of the FDCPA and the account at issue in this case is a consumer debt.
- 14. Defendant is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 15. Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated 15 U.S.C. §1692 et. seq;
- 16. The Plaintiff has suffered economic, emotional, general and statutory damages as a result of these violations of the FDCPA.

## COUNT II - VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN OCCUPATIONAL CODE

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 18. Defendant is a "collection agency" as that term is defined in the Michigan Occupational Code ("MOC"), M.C.L. § 339.901(b).
- 19. Plaintiff is a debtor as that term is defined in M.C.L. § 339.901(f).
- 20. Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated MCL §339.915
- 21. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the Michigan Occupational Code.
- 22. These violations of the Michigan Occupational Code were willful.

# COUNT III - VIOLATION OF THE MICHIGAN COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 24. Defendant is a "Regulated Person" as that term is defined in the Michigan Collection Practices Act ("MCPA"), at MCL § 445.251.
- 25. Plaintiff is a "Consumer" as that term is defined at MCL § 445.251.
- 26. Defendant's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt violated MCL §445.252
- 27. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the MCPA.
- 28. These violations of the MCPA were willful.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

## DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF

Accordingly, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant him the following relief against the defendant:

- a. Actual damages.
- b. Statutory damages.
- c. Treble damages.
- d. Statutory costs and attorney fees.

Respectfully submitted,

March 26, 2013

/s/ Gary Nitzkin GARY D. NITZKIN P41155 TRAVIS SHACKELFORD P68710 MICHIGAN CONSUMER CREDIT LAWYERS Attorneys for Plaintiff